

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,358	09/11/2003	Lijun Sun	3211.1007-001	5512	
21005 7	590 08/04/2006	EXAMINER			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			ANDERSON, REBECCA L		
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			1626	·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,358		SUN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Rebecca L. And	erson	1626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence add	iress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS Constitution of the constitution	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-fir ance except for fo	ormal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims	•		•			
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13,22-24 and 26</u> Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-21</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	<u>6-30</u> is/are withdra		ation.			
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	ccepted or b) obe e drawing(s) be held ection is required if the	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/04</u> .		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/660,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 11-13, 22-24 and 26-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter.

Claims 1-10 and 14-21 are objected. Claim 25 appears allowable over the prior art of record.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 9 January 2006 and the further election of the compound of the formula IIa wherein ring A is pyridine; R0 is –H; R3 is –H; X is –C(O)-; and R1 is 4-cyanylphenyl in the reply filed 2 May 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction with respect to groups I and II is improper because Applicant's invention of Group I is generic to the invention of Group II. This is not found persuasive because the invention of group II contains additional reaction steps and is therefore considered an independent and distinct process which differs materially in reaction steps, reactants and reaction conditions and final products. The search for Group I differs from the search for Group II. In regards to the restriction within each group, Applicant argues that the compounds recited in the claims share a common utility common structural feature essential to the utility and the restriction requirement is improper with respect to the Markus groups of the claims in view of In re Weber, 580 F. 2d 455, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978) according to MPEP 803.2). This argument is not found persuasive as in traversing the restriction requirement of the intra-claim restriction within the elected Group I, applicant has relied on the decision of In re Weber, 198 U.S.P.Q. 328 (CCPA 1978). However,

Art Unit: 1626

this is considered non-persuasive because this decision involved rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. 121 and not a restriction as is the case herein. The issue here is one of restriction. 35 U.S.C. 121 gives the Commissioner the authority to restrict to one invention those applications which contain two or more inventions, i.e. limit the examination of an application to a single invention. Thus, the requirement to restrict in this application is predicated on the fact that the elected subject matter taken as a whole and the non-elected subject matter taken as a whole are so different in structure and element as to be patentably distinct, i.e. a reference which anticipated but one group of compounds would not even render obvious the other group (two or more inventions involved). Applicants have not argued otherwise or presented any evidence to show that the various groups constitute the same invention, i.e. are obvious over each other. Applicants' traversal of the restriction requirement is based on its intra-claim restriction. Again, it is noted that the restriction requirement here is predicated on the premise that the various compounds involved (i.e. the elected and non-elected compounds) differ in structure and element so much so as to be patentably distinct, i.e., a reference which anticipated but the elected compounds claimed would not even render obvious the others. Accordingly, the requirement to restrict is considered proper and is maintained.

While applicant states on page 2 of the response filed 2 May 2006 that the election of a specific compound is for search purposes, it is noted that as stated on pages 3 and 4 of the restriction requirement dated 6 December 2005, the election of a specific compound is a further restriction requirement and applicant will be given a chance to properly respond to the restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,358

Art Unit: 1626

Therefore, as stated on pages 3 and 4 of the restriction requirement,

The elected invention for search and examination is:

the method of preparing a compound represented by structural formula IIa: wherein ring A is pyridine; X is a methanone; R0 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group; R1 is –H, a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group or a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group; each R2 is independently a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group; R3 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group, provided that if R3 is-H, at least one of R2 is a secondary or tertiary alkyl group; each R4 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group;

the method of preparing a compound represented by structural formula IIb wherein: ring B is unsubstituted or substituted; X is a methanone; R0 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group; R1 is –H, a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group or a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group; each R2 is independently a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group; R3 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group provided that if R3 is-H, at least one of R2 is a secondary or tertiary alkyl group; each R4 is –H or a substituted or unsubstituted aliphatic group;

and the method of preparing a compound represented by structural formula VII wherein: R2 is -C(CH3)3; R0 and R3 are -H; R4 is -CH3; and R14 is-CH3, CH2CH3, - OCH3, -CN, -F or -CI.

The remaining subject matter of claims 1-10, 14-21 and 25 that is not drawn to the above elected invention and the subject matter of claims 11-13, 22-24 and 26-30 stands withdrawn under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being for non-elected subject matter. The

Application/Control Number: 10/660,358

Art Unit: 1626

remaining compounds which are not within the elected invention, which are independent and distinct from the elected invention and do not have unity with the elected compound and are therefore withdrawn by means of a restriction requirement within the claims are, for example, the compounds of the formula (IIa) wherein ring A is other than a pyridine ring; X is a covalent bond or a linking group selected from a sulfone, a sulfoxide, a substituted or unsubstituted amine, or a substituted or unsubstituted methylene; R0 is a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group, a substituted or unsubstituted non-aromatic heterocyclic group, a halogen, -CN-CORa, -CO2Ra, -CONRaRb, -SO2Ra, or -SO2NRaRb; R1 is a substituted or unsubstitued non-aromatic heterocyclic group, -CN, -ORa, -SRa, or -NRaRb; each R2 is a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group or both R2 groups, taken together, are an inert linking group; R3 is a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group, or an electron-withdrawing or electron-donating group; each R4 is a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group; or both R4 groups, taken together with the nitrogen atom to which they are bonded, are a substituted or unsubstituted heterocyclic group, etc.

The above mentioned withdrawn compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for nonelected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition from the compounds of the elected invention. The withdrawn compounds differ from those of the elected invention, such as by furanyl, thienyl, and oxazole, etc. which are chemically recognized to differ in structure and function. This recognized chemical diversity of the compounds can be seen by the various classification of these compounds in the U.S. classification system, i.e. class 549 subclass (200)+ furanyl,

Application/Control Number: 10/660,358

Art Unit: 1626

class 549 subclass (1)+ thienyl, class 548 subclass (215)+ oxazole, etc. Therefore, again, the compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition and have been restricted properly as a reference which anticipated but the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the non-elected subject matter.

These withdrawn compounds are independent and distinct from the elected invention and do not have unity with the species elected and are therefor withdrawn by means of a restriction requirement within the claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10, 14-21 and 25 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-10, 14-21 and 25 presented drawn solely to the elected invention identified supra as the elected invention for search and examination would overcome this objection.

The closest prior art of record is Coper et al. which discloses the process:

(1) (2)
$$CH_3$$
 CH_3 CH_3

product (3) is produced in a yield of 0-20%. Applicants' instantly claimed elected invention provides out the process as found in Coper et al. with the proviso wherein if R3 is –H, at least one of R2 is a secondary or tertiary alkyl group. Coper et al. does not

Art Unit: 1626

render applicants' instant elected invention obvious as the applicants' specification provides unexpected results wherein the 3-acyl indolizines are prepared in a high yield.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rebecca L. Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0696. Mrs. Anderson can normally be reached Monday through Friday 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rebecca Anderson Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600 July 10, 2006